Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/600,056	HERLE ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael J. Yigdall	2192
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: Pending
(1) Michael J. Yigdall.	(3)	
(2) David Roe (Reg. No. 55,785).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 May 2010	Time: 5:00 PM ET	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Presonal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 5, 15, 25 and 30		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN Agreed to an examiner's amendment to correct the dependence		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To		

of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

t it is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Michael J. Yigdall/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2192

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)